

**Stage 1: New Program Preliminary Proposal Review Form**

**Name of Proposed Program: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Date of Review: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Name of Reviewer:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Role of Reviewer: Provost Budget Director Faculty Dean Other\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

How well does the information in the **Rationale** do the following:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  **Criteria** | **Inadequately** **0**  | **Minimally** **1** | **Adequately** **2**  |
| Provide clear and convincing reasons as to why this program is important nationally, internationally, and/or to Gallaudet.  |  |  |  |
| Convincingly argues that this program responds to a needs and current and potential strengths.  |  |  |  |
| Provide strong supports in support of the argument with references for these supports.  |  |  |  |

How well do the **Goals** do the following:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  **Criteria** | **Inadequately** **0**  | **Minimally** **1** | **Adequately** **2**  |
| Show consistency with Gallaudet’s mission?  |  |  |  |
| Provide broad statements of the kinds of learning we hope students will achieve. |  |  |  |
| Provide statements of long range intended outcomes of the program and its curriculum.  |  |  |  |

**Additional Comments:**

*See back of form for decision*

A New Program Feasibility Study SHOULD or SHOULD NOT be developed for this New

Program proposal. (circle one).

If a New Program Feasibility Study (Stage 2 Proposal) is NOT recommended the Stage 1 proposal can be considered a second time if the proposal is significantly strengthened.